ASSESSING ENTREPRENEURIAL CHARACTERISTICS IN A BRAZILIAN TRAINING PROGRAM

A Dissertation

Presented to the Faculty

of

Union Institute & University
Graduate School

By Valdemiro B. Hildebrando

In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the

Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Interdisciplinary Studies

With concentration in

Applied Economics

MAY 2003

Abstract

The purpose of this study is to provide an assessment of selected entrepreneurial characteristics in a group of participants of an entrepreneurial and managerial training program developed in the south of Brazil by the local municipality and several community organizations. The study questions the effectiveness of such programs when the participants are mostly small and micro business owners, and self-employed individuals. The program was organized with the purpose of helping to create new jobs and improve entrepreneurial and managerial skills of the participants, thus helping generate income and promote regional economic development. Although considered by federal authorities as one of the best programs in the country for the creation of jobs, neither measurement of success nor objective results of the program was made. The author assessed behavior and attitudes with standard, pre-formatted bipolar scales, which revealed participant's propensity to risk, to innovativeness, and need for achievement, three personality characteristics generally considered typical for entrepreneurs. A quantitative and statistical analysis of the results compared the two groups of individuals: one group, which took the program, composed by micro business owners and self-employed individuals, and another group composed by would-be entrepreneurs that did not take the program. Some conclusions emerged from the data: first, it demonstrated that statistical differences between the two groups were not significant and confirmed that these individuals have a low propensity to risk and need for achievement; furthermore, their innovativeness was not business oriented. Second, due to environmental and psychological differences between Brazil and the United States, the study revealed some level of cultural rejection to the content of the scales. Finally, the study detected the need for a program curriculum with stronger orientation toward entrepreneurship and additional studies to determine differences between micro business and small and medium-sized enterprises.

Acknowledgements

I would like to dedicate this degree to my parents Maria Joaquina and Valde Hildebrando, who gave me examples of probity and character, and drove me toward books in the morning of my life.

My first wish is to thank my God for the courage and strength He gave me to face the challenge of leaving my homeland and doing my dissertation in this generous country; for the beautiful moments at the St. Mathews Cathedral in Washington, DC, and for all the discoveries I made at the Library of Congress.

I also wish to thank my daughter Heloisa and my sons George, Gustavo, and Bruno, for having accepted my long absence from their lives; my special gratitude to Rita for her love, continuous support, and patience.

A special word of thanks to my peers Jane Perri and Peggy Lesniewicz, my editor, for so many insights and productive conversations; to Doug Adair, an entrepreneur, for having made possible for me to study and put a little routine in these disquiet years; and to the members of my committee.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| ABSTRACT | | ii |
|------------|--|-----|
| ACKNOWL | EDGEMENTS | iii |
| TABLE OF O | CONTENTS | iv |
| LIST OF TA | BLES | vi |
| CHAPTER | | |
| I. | INTRODUCTION AND RESEARCH PROBLEM | |
| | Purpose of the Study | 1 |
| | Research Problem | 2 |
| | Research Question | 3 |
| | Socio Economic Overview | 3 |
| | Program Description | 8 |
| | Significance of the Study | 12 |
| | Limitations | 13 |
| | | |
| II. | LITERATURE REVIEW | |
| | The Economic Relevance of Entrepreneurship | 14 |
| | The Entrepreneurship Education: The State of the Art | 24 |
| | Entrepreneurship Education Programs: Expected Outcomes | 33 |
| | The Psychological and Behavioral approach | 37 |
| | Conclusion. | 43 |
| ш | METHODOLOGY | |
| III. | METHODOLOGY | 4.5 |
| | Introduction | 45 |
| | Research Design | 46 |
| | Selection of Participants | 47 |
| | Participant's Profile | 49 |
| | Nature, Source of Data, and Instrumentation | 53 |
| | Data Analysis and Interpretation | 55 |
| | Ethical Aspects | 56 |

| IV | IV. | FINDINGS | |
|--------|-------|--|-----|
| | | Demographics | 58 |
| | | Statistical Analysis: Need for Achievement | 59 |
| | | Statistical Analysis: Innovativeness | 64 |
| | | Statistical Analysis: Risk-taking Propensity | 68 |
| | | DISCUSSION | |
| | | Need for Achievement | 72 |
| | | Innovativeness | 73 |
| | | Risk-taking propensity | 74 |
| | | Measurement Aspects | 75 |
| | | Cultural Aspects | 77 |
| | | Curriculum of the Program | 79 |
| | | Conclusion. | 80 |
| | V. | CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | |
| | | Introduction | 83 |
| | | Implications of the Research | 86 |
| | | Conclusion | 90 |
| | | Recommendations for Further Research | 91 |
| REFER | ENCE | S | 92 |
| DEFIN: | ITION | OF TERMS | 106 |
| APPEN | | | |
| | A: | Informed Consent Form | 110 |
| | B: | The discipline of Entrepreneurship | 112 |
| | C: | Descriptive statistics: Case Summaries | 116 |
| | D: | Descriptive statistics: Frequencies | 121 |
| | E: | The municipal law that created the Program | 126 |
| | F: | The official rationale of the Program | 128 |
| | | | |

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

| 1.1 | Brazil: Selected Macro Economic Figures | 4 |
|------|---|----|
| 1.2 | The Structure of the Program | 9 |
| 2.1 | The Entrepreneurial Strategy Matrix for Small Businesses | 16 |
| 2.2 | Framework for Linking Entrepreneurship to Economic Growth | 18 |
| 2.3 | SMEs Share in the Economy (Selected Countries) | 22 |
| 2.4 | Firm Size by Number of Employees: a General Categorization | 23 |
| 2.5 | Entrepreneurial Characteristics and Relation with Success | 42 |
| 3.1 | The Population | 50 |
| 3.2 | Professional Categories (Both Groups) | 51 |
| 3.3 | Participants' Demographics | 52 |
| 4.1 | Cross-tabulation with both groups and answers on | |
| | Need for Achievement | 60 |
| 4.2 | Number of correct answers per participant: percentage of | |
| | correct / true answers by categories | 61 |
| 4.3 | Significant Results on Achievement Questions | 62 |
| 4.4 | T-test Results on Achievement Questions | 63 |
| 4.5 | Cross-tabulation with both groups and answers on Innovation | 64 |
| 4.6 | Number of correct answers per participant: percentage of | |
| | correct / true answers by categories | 65 |
| 4.7 | Significant Results on Innovation Questions | 66 |
| 4.8 | T-test Results on Innovation Questions | 67 |
| 4.9 | Cross-tabulation with both groups and answers on Risk- | |
| | taking Propensity | 68 |
| 4.10 | Number of correct answers per participant: percentage of | |
| | correct / true answers by categories | 69 |
| 4.11 | Mean and Variance for Risk-taking Questions | 70 |
| 4.12 | T-test Results on Risk-taking Questions | 71 |
| 4.13 | The Entrepreneur Profile: a Summary | 81 |